

DÉJÀ VU ALL OVER AGAIN AND AGAIN

JAMES D. GOWING

Last year, I reported on the failure of provinces to support best treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) with rituximab, a drug which produces durable remissions. I asked “how many times will this have to happen?” Well, it is still happening, and here in Ontario it is getting worse.

I regularly see patients with CLL who might benefit from rituximab. The latest case involved a 55 year old woman whose disease I was able to control for 12 years with conventional treatment but recently her disease accelerated. Her lymph nodes became enlarged, obstructing urine flow from her kidneys, threatening her life. She was weak from anemia and seriously predisposed to infections (neutropenia). Chemotherapy that had previously controlled her disease was only partially effective, failed to relieve the urinary obstruction, and caused intolerable side effects. We couldn't get rituximab for her by simply stating her diagnosis, but after frustrating delay we obtained it via another route. The first two doses normalized her blood counts, resolved her nodes, and restored urine flow, without any side effects. She should be well on her way to complete recovery with the last two doses .

I fear that in many provinces, with the exception of BC, oncologists frustrated with the inability to treat their patients will move to other jurisdictions where treating their patients is supported by expedient access to effective treatment. Patients in Ontario may suffer grave consequences waiting for the government to make rituximab available.

Caregivers are also constantly frustrated by lack of access to diagnostic tools. A 60 year old patient, in remission for five years after bone marrow transplant for lymphoma, coughed up a small amount of blood. A chest CT scan was normal. He did not fit any of the Ontario “trials” for PET scanning. However, a PET scan obtained through other means showed slight activity in a bronchial tube. A bronchoscopic exam directed to this difficult-to-reach location revealed cancer cells but no tumour. Successful surgery for invasive lung cancer was then performed giving him an excellent chance for a prolonged and useful life. Had we not pursued the clinical suspicion that his symptoms were not due to lymphoma, we probably would have had a negative routine bronchoscopy. We would then have waited until the lung cancer grew to be visible on a CT scan, but perhaps by then it would have become inoperable.

CACC believes in advocacy and is committed to speaking openly about cancer issues, to highlight the concerns of patients and families, and to press governments for a more effective response to the enormity of the cancer problem in Canada. We forego charitable status to retain this freedom. Through the generosity of sponsors and donors, we use unrestricted grants to collect information, consult with cancer experts and families, and lobby governments for improvements. Sponsorship guidelines can be found on our web site.

If you are one of the millions of Canadians concerned about the state of cancer care, tell your federal MP and your provincial MPP/MLA. Make sure the people you elected to govern know that cancer is a priority to you and should be for them.

CANCER ADVOCACY COALITION OF CANADA

60 St. Clair Ave. East, Suite 204, Toronto, Ontario M4T 1N5

Tel: (416) 538-4874 Toll Free: 1-877-472-3436

canceradvocacy@on.aibn.com www.canceradvocacy.ca